



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/926,210	10/19/2001	Paul Von Hase	212811US2PCT	8498	
75	7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T		
1755 JEFFERS	ON DAVIS HIGHWAY				
FOURTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			2674	9	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	4 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/926,210	HASE, PAUL VON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	DIVICATIO EVDIDE AMOI	NITU(C) CDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	0-19-01.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		dication No			
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the p	• •				
application from the International Bur	·	correct in time reducing. Cage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ceived.			
Attachmont/c\					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	omany (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	(08) 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-35 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-17, 19-20, 25-28 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by West (WO 98/25401 cited by Applicant).

Regarding claims 1-4, 6-7 and 19-20, West discloses a method for correcting the phase different between the pixel clock (34, 36, figure 2, page 7, lines 28) of a graphics card and the sampling clock (page 2, lines 28 to page 3, line 5) of a flat-panel display with an analog interface in a system comprising flat-panel display, graphics card and computer (see abstract), therefore, characterized in that automatic adjustment of the phase difference is performed repeatedly (see figures 2, 4 and 6b).

Regarding claims 5, and 26, West discloses a sufficiently bright pixel is selected and the rising edge of a video pulse of this pixel is determined (see figure 4, LEFT REG and RIGHT REG, page 14 line 1), in that a sufficiently bright pixel is selected and the falling edge of a video pulse of this pixel is determined (see figure 4, LEFT REG and RIGHT REG, page 13, lines 21-24), and that the phase difference is adjusted such that the sample moment for the total image is

Art Unit: 2674

approximately midway between the rising and falling edges of the video pulse (se page 15, lines 10-28).

Regarding claim 8, West discloses the image region with the pixel are arranged on the flat screen in rows and columns between a back porch region and a front porch region (see page 2, lines 11-27, see figure 1d), a pixel in the first image column adjacent the back porch region (see figure 4, LEFT REG and RIGHT REG, page 14, line 1) being selected as a sufficiently bright pixel for determining the rising edge and a pixel in the first image column adjacent the front porch region being selected as a sufficiently bright pixel for determining the falling edge (see figure 4, LEFT REG and RIGHT REG, page 13, lines 21-24.

Regarding claim 9, West discloses an inherent the brightness of a plurality of image, as pots of first column is measure.

Regarding claims 11-12 and 31-32, West discloses a method and arrangement (see figures 2 and 4) for shifting the phase difference (18, see figure 2, page 16, lines 23-27) I order to determine the amplitude value or sample value (202, 203, see figure 7, lines) of the selected pixel until either the measured amplitude values or sample values (see figure 4, THRESRGEM PIXCOMP, see age 16, line 23-topage 17, lines 17); or the measured amplitude values or sample values are below a predetermined limit value (see lie below a threshold, see figure 4, THRESREG, PIXCOMP, see page 17, lines 18-27), the phase difference being shifted by half a pixel width (see page 17, lines 12-15, and see selecting a frame at a center of a subseries, see lines 23-25.

Regarding claims 13-14 and 33-34, West discloses a method and an arrangement (see figure 2 and 4, wherein to determine the rising/falling edge, the phase difference is shifted in the

Art Unit: 2674

direction of the back porch region or front porch (18, "PROGRAMMABLE DELAY, see figure 2, page 16, lines 23-27; both "directions" are possible, page 17, lines 25-27) until measured amplitude value (202, 203,see figure 7) drops to a predetermined % (see lies below a threshold, see figure 4, "THRESREG", "PIXCOMP", see page 17, lines 18-27, see "the right hand most active pixel component", this value of the phase difference is buffered as the location of the rising/falling edge (see page 17, lines 12-15 and 23-25).

Regarding claims 15-17, West discloses an inherent the phase or sampling instant is delayed to the midpoint between the rising and falling edges by a predetermined amount (see selecting a frame at a center of a subseries, see page 17, lines 23-25).

Regarding claims 25-28, West discloses the phase adjustment necessary for instaneous condition of the system is determined only at individual image spots, and by which the determined phase adjustment is then applied to the entire image (see page 15, lines 23-28), or by an adjusting device with which the phase is adjusted such that the sampling instant is shifted by approximately half the width of an image spot toward the center of the pixel (see page 15, lines 10-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

4. Claims 18, 21-24 and 29-30 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over West (WO 98/25401 cited by Applicant) in view of Hirao et al. (US 4,996,596).

West does not disclose the sampling instant can be changed by the user compared with the value determined during matching in case an offset adjusted, and an adjusting device for shifting the phase comprising a circuit containing two PLL circuits, whose outputs can be adjusted independently of one another as regards their phase. Hirao discloses a circuit for providing the phase comparator (16) to compare the phase of the horizontal synchronization signal from synchronization separating circuit (14, see column 8, lines 45-49), and two PLL loop (first PLL loop and second PLL loop, whose outputs independtly to each other (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the teaching of using the phase comparator, first PLL loop and second PLL loop as taught by Hirao into the adjusting device of West having sampling clock because this would for responding to a single output in a synchronization detector circuit (see abstract).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2674

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen March 1, 2004

Lun-Yi Lao Primary Examiner Page 6

Lu y fan